**Microcontroller Compensated Micromachined Oscillator Circuit**

#### **Group 13:**  Megan Driggers, EE Heather Hofstee, EE Michaela Pain, CpE

Sponsored by: Dr. Reza Abdolvand

## **Oscillators Overview**

- Oscillators are heartbeat of electronics
- Necessary for stable signals and proper clocking
- Clock signals ensure data is not lost in delays
- Crystal oscillators are most common

### **Micromachined Oscillator Overview**

- Micromachined oscillators/resonators: fabrication and smaller
- Issues arise with temperature stability



#### Figure 1: 3D rendering of micromachined oscillator

## **Motivation**

- Researchers at UCF work with thin-film piezoelectric-onsilicon (TPoS) microsystems resonators
- TPoS resonators: active compensation
- Project sponsor: Dr. Abdolvand



Figure 2: Fabricated oscillators on silicon

## **Goals and Objectives**

- Goal: to build a PCB that stabilizes resistance of resistor
- Resistance  $\rightarrow$  Temperature
- To be used in testing TPoS oscillators
- Unique temperature and resonance frequency characteristics

# **Requirements**

- Hardware Deliverables:
	- Controls resistance within mΩ
	- Protection for resonator/functional checks
	- Communication
		- Relay temperature and resistance to user
- Software Deliverables:
	- Controls resistance within mΩ
	- Correct speed of program for stability



# **Specifications**



## **Overall System Design**





### **LCD Selection**

- The **TinSharp 16x2 screen** was selected as the Liquid Crystal Display (LCD) because:
	- Its size allowed for flexibility in the presentation of results and user prompts
	- Compatibility and cost



### **0 TCR Resistor**

- The **10Ω resistor** was chosen as the 0 TCR resistor because:
	- Considering the 10V power source, a resistance greater than 10Ω would pull too much voltage
	- Low price point and small and standard packaging
	- The options shown are manufactured by Vishay Foil Resistors (a division of Vishay Precision Group) and have a TCR value of 0.2 ppm/°C



# **Microcontroller Series Selection**



The **MSP430** series microcontroller was chosen because:

- Familiarity with the family of microcontrollers
- Low cost
- High resolution A/D convertor options within series
- D/A convertor options within series

# **Microcontroller Product Selection**



The **MSP430FG47x** microcontroller was chosen because:

- Provides enough pins to connect LCD, user interface, and voltage readings
- Allows for an external crystal oscillator to increase clock speed
- Low cost
- Contains a D/A convertor
- Highest A/D resolution

## **Microcontroller Voltage Readings**



Figure 3: Microcontroller ADC visual representation

- **Goal:** Maximize resolution of voltage readings through 16-bit A/D Convertor
- **How:** Manipulate input voltages to span over the entire microcontroller ADC input voltage range (0V to 1.5V)



 $\text{Gain}=\frac{1}{7}$ 7 **=**  $R_1$  $R_1+R_2$ **Voltage Divider Circuit Gain**



# **Power Supply**

The main power supply was chosen to be the **Agilent E3631A triple DC voltage output** because:

- Already present in Dr. Abdolvand's Lab
- Able to provide both  $+10V$  and  $-10V$  rails
- High stability/low voltage variation





# **Voltage Regulators**

The most important aspect of voltage regulation for our project:

- \*\*\*Low noise\*\*\*
- High efficiency
- Acceptable capacity



Linear voltage regulators would be the best option

# EAGLE SCHEMATIC AND BOARD DESIGN

## **EAGLE Schematic Design**

**Main Power Supply (10V) to LCD Logic and Microcontroller Power Supply (3.3V)**



**Main Power Supply (10V) to LCD Backlight Power Supply (5V)** Figure 6: 10V to 3.3V conversion circuit



**Main Power Supply (10V) to Circuit Input Voltage (8.2V)**



Figure 7: 10V to 8.2V conversion circuit

**Voltage Reference (3V) for Microcontroller ADC and DAC**



Figure 9: 3V voltage reference circuit

#### **EAGLE Schematic Design**



# **EAGLE Analog Schematic Design**



Figure 14: Analog schematic

# **EAGLE Analog Schematic Design**



Figure 14: Analog schematic

# **EAGLE PCB Design**



Figure 15: PCB design

### **Populated PCB**



Figure 16: Populated PCB

### **Populated PCB**



Figure 16: Populated PCB



# **Software Functionality**

- The purpose of the software is illustrated in the tasks below:
	- Calculating the resistance of the resonator
	- Communicating information between the user and device
	- Controlling the current passed into the resonator
- Other requirements include:
	- Operating in three modes:
		- **Standby**
		- Characterization
		- **Operational**
	- Scalable and efficient code

# **Programming Language**

- **C** was selected as the programming language for this project because:
	- Often the language of choice for this type of application
		- Programs for embedded applications tend to not be object-oriented
	- Build-in and user-defined types, data structures and flexible control flow (1)
	- Previous background in C programming

# **Programming Environment**

- **Code Composer Studio** was selected as the software development environment because:
	- Designed for TI's microcontrollers and embedded processors
	- Contains a multitude of tools for development and debugging embedded applications
	- Compatible with our microcontroller
	- Previous software experience



# **Resistance Control Algorithm**

- A proportional integral derivative (PI) controller was used to implement control system to stabilize the resistance
	- Takes action based on past, present and prediction of future control errors
	- Delivers control output at desired levels Figure 18: Graphical Representation of



#### Figure 17: PID Control System

Source: https://www.elprocus.com/the-working-of-a-pid-controller/



Controller

Source: Analysis and Design of Feedback Systems by Astrom and Murray

# **Resistance Control Algorithm**

- Our PI controller algorithm works as follows:
	- Continuously calculates the error
	- Calculates a correction based on proportional and integral terms
		- The P-term is proportional to the current error
		- The I-term is proportional to the integral of the error
	- Applies the correction to modify the current output
		- Which in turn affects the voltage and resistance
- Loop tuning was used to produce the optimal control function

# **Initial Control System Testing**



Figure 19: Initial control system testing (constant  $K_p$  and  $K_i$ )

- Error  $E(t)$  = Resistance-Desired Resistance
	- (For negative TCR)
- Controller =  $K_p + \frac{K_i}{s}$  $\mathcal{S}_{0}$

• When the resonator's transfer function is approximated to a first order system of form:

$$
\frac{b}{s+a} \rightarrow b * e^{-at} * u(t)
$$

• 
$$
K_p = \frac{2\zeta\omega_0 - a}{b}
$$
,  $K_i = \frac{\omega_0^2}{b}$ 

- The 'b' for each system is dependent on its resistance and is different for each system.
- The data shows that for constant  $K_p$  and  $K_i$ values, the overshoot changes linearly with the system's resistance.
- Therefore,  $K_p$  and  $K_i$  are both inversely proportional to the resistance.

## **Resistance Control System Results**



#### Figure 20: 15Ω Resistor overshoot analysis



#### Figure 22: 22Ω Resistor overshoot analysis



#### Figure 21: 15Ω Resistor time analysis



Figure 23: 22Ω Resistor time analysis

### **Program Flow**









# **LCD Testing**

- The evaluation of the software is critical for verifying the correct performance of the application
- The software component of this system was required to receive accurate voltage inputs and perform calculations and conversions appropriately
- The LCD was used to debug and present measurements to the tester during program development



### **Work Distribution**



# **Budget**



# **Current Progress**



## **Challenges and Takeaways**

- Difficulties:
	- PCB design, little experience
	- Software and hardware integration
- Lessons: Teamwork, research carefully, be flexible

# **Final Thoughts**

- Acknowledgements
- Optimize current range
- Control loop for positive TCR device
- Write up user instructions

